

#1266 Israel as the Fig Tree – If Nebuchadnezzar’s Babylonians and their captivity of the Jews caused the fig tree to not blossom (literally and figuratively), and the Media-Persians and their allowing the Jews to return to Judah were cause for the fig tree to blossom (literally and figuratively), how does that apply to the rebirth of Israel through the events of World War I and World War II?, part 2

***Matthew 24:32-33 (KJV) Now learn A PARABLE OF THE FIG TREE: When his branch is yet tender, and putteth forth leaves, ye know that summer is nigh:
33 So likewise ye, when ye shall see all these things, know that it is near, even at the doors. {it: or, he}***



It can be expected that the rebirth of Israel on May 14-15, 1948 – through and after the events of World War I and World War II – could indeed be associated scripturally/prophetically with a fig tree, for (i) Nebuchadnezzar’s Babylonians and their captivity of the Jews caused the *fig tree to not blossom* (literally and figuratively), while the Media-Persians and their allowing of the Jews to return to Judah were cause for the *fig tree to blossom* (literally and figuratively), and (ii) in World War I, Great Britain and the United States were playing the role (seen through Pershing and Sousa) of a *second Media-Persia*, starting the process of delivering the Promised Land back into the hands of the Jews.

Key Understanding #1: Therefore, with Great Britain and the United States playing the role of a *second Media-Persia*, the rebirth of Israel on May 14-15, 1948 – through the events surrounding World War I and World War II – places Israel in the prophetic position of being a fig tree that is blossoming.



Question: However, what is wrong with the picture outlined above of Great Britain and the United States playing the role of a *second Media-Persia*, and thus the associated rebirth of Israel on May 14-15, 1948, representing a blossoming fig tree?

Key Understanding #2 and Answer: What is wrong with the picture is that in its role as the *second (and counterfeit) Media-Persia*, the United States is defeating a *counterfeit second Babylon* in its deliverance of Israel. **It is the United States that is the real second Babylon, that is, Babylon the Great.**

So, how does that truth impact the picture of Israel as the fig tree of Matthew 24:32?

America played the role of Media-Persia in World War I, starting the process of delivering the Jews back into the Promised Land . . .

. . . while in reality America is Babylon the Great. So, how does that truth impact the picture of Israel as the fig tree of Matthew 24:32?



America as Media-Persia (in the counterfeit)



America as Babylon the Great

[Note: The issue addressed in this Unsealing is identical to the issue discussed in Unsealing #1223, that the ‘U.S. Grant/George Meade’ Locust Union Army/Army of the Potomac of the *second* Babylon were the same as the ‘U.S. Grant/George Meade’ Union Army/Army of the Potomac of the *second* Medes.

The Union Army/Army of the Potomac were in the dual role as the Army of Babylon and the Army of the Medes (essentially representing Media-Persia) simultaneously. Why? The answer is that while the Union Army/Army of the Potomac of U.S. Grant/George Meade were in truth representing the Locust Army of the *second* Babylon, or Babylon the Great, the Union Army/Army of the Potomac were playing the role of a *second* (and *counterfeit*) Media-Persia defeating Babylon, on behalf of God – as part of the lie of the prophetic story of the building of the United States towards its destiny.]

<< [Previous](#)

[Main Page and List of Unsealing Summaries](#)

[Next](#) >>